

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,204	08/31/2001	David J. Domingues	PIL0060/US	4507
33072	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
KAGAN BINDER, PLLC			TRAN LIEN, THUY	
SUITE 200, MAPLE ISLAND BUILDING 221 MAIN STREET NORTH		DING	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STILLWAT	STILLWATER, MN 55082		1761	· ·
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/945,204	DOMINGUES, DAVID J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
Lien T Tran	1761	

**Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) uill not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. **AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE** 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_\_. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joup 1707)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the 112 enablement rejection of claims 1,27 and 29, applicant argues the claims are amended to recite "at least twelve weeks" instead of "12 weeks or greater" and this amendment overcomes the rejection. While the amendment changes the words, the interpretation remains the same. At least 12 weeks means at the minimum of 12 and including period beyond 12; 12 weeks or greater means 12 and beyond 12. Thus, the claims still are not enabling for period beyond 12. There is no showing or disclosure or evidence to conclude that the dough is stable for period beyond 12 including years, months. The 112 rejection with regard to claim 1 lacking written description is hereby withdrawn.

With respect to the 103 rejection, applicant argues Kuechle et al do not teach the dough is refrigeration stable as claimed. The argument is not persuasive. The refrigeration time disclosed by Kuechle et al is the time at which the dough is thawed after freezing and then refrigerated; it is not the time at which the dough is refrigerated right after preparation. All the lines and columns pointed out by applicant do not disclose anything about refrigerating without first being frozen and then thaw. There is no disclosure of the dough being refrigeration stable for 7 days when it is refrigerated right after preparation. Freezing and thawing cause alteration in the dough. The dough of Kuechle et al contain encapsulated leavening agent which is the same ingredient as claimed; thus, it is obvious the dough exhibits same stability. If applicant contends that the dough does not have the same stability, the burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that the dough does not have the same stability. Both freezing and refrigerating of dough are known in the art; it would have been obvious to one skilled in the to freeze or to refrigerate the dough depending on the equipment available.